

# Analyzing Free Economic Zones in Uzbekistan for Sustainable Growth

Young-Jin Ahn<sup>1</sup>, Zuhridin Juraev<sup>1</sup> and Ji-Yeong Gu<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Geography, Chonnam National University, Gwangju, Korea, <sup>2</sup>Korea Research Institute for Human Settlements

**Received:** 2023-05-11

**Revised:** 2024-01-22

**Accepted:** 2024-04-16

**Keywords:** Free economic zone; Economic geography; Development; Spatial patterns; Uzbekistan

**Abstract** This study examines the economic geography of Uzbekistan's free economic zones, focusing on their current significance and future prospects. It examines the historical and theoretical foundations of these zones, focusing on their role in shaping and organizing them. The study looks at the status of the free economic zones and examines their contribution to key economic indicators such as gross domestic production, trade, labor and tourism. In addition, the study uncovers the challenges faced by the free economic zones and provides targeted recommendations to effectively address these issues. The findings not only contribute to the existing economic geography literature, but also serve as a valuable resource for policy makers, researchers and stakeholders interested in promoting economic growth and regional development through free economic zones. This study provides a comprehensive analysis and a theoretical basis for understanding free economic zones in Uzbekistan and offers a broader perspective for improving their performance and potential to ultimately promote sustainable economic development in the country and beyond.

**Correspondent email :**

[198928@jnu.ac.kr](mailto:198928@jnu.ac.kr)

©2024 by the authors. Licensee Indonesian Journal of Geography, Indonesia.

This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY NC) license <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>.

## 1. Introduction

Free Economic Zones (FEZs) are pivotal for economic development, attracting foreign direct investment (FDI) and fostering regional growth (Watanabe, 2003; Jayawarna et al., 2011). In Uzbekistan, strategically located in Central Asia, FEZs serve as catalysts for economic diversification and growth. This study conducts a thorough economic geography analysis, aiming to unravel spatial dynamics, location patterns, and the impact of FEZs on Uzbekistan's economy, contributing valuable insights for stakeholders.

The study explores the performance of Foreign Economic Zones (FEZs) by analyzing their geographical distribution, sectoral composition, and contributions to FDI, exports, and job creation, while also examining geographical factors influencing FEZ establishment and future development prospects. This study attempts to contribute to the understanding of FEZs in Uzbekistan by analyzing the spatial dynamics, location factors and impacts to support decision-making for policy makers, investors, and researchers. Ultimately, it facilitates sustainable growth and prosperity for Uzbekistan's FEZs.

The study investigates the historical and theoretical foundations of Free Economic Zones (FEZs) in Uzbekistan, emphasizing their geographical aspects. It provides insights into FEZ origins, evolution, and theoretical underpinnings, comparing them globally. Spatial factors, analyzed through location theory, play a crucial role in organizing FEZs, influencing their development and impact. Assessing Uzbekistan's FEZs, the study considers infrastructure, labor, resources, and trade links. The impact of FEZs on GDP, trade, logistics, and tourism is evaluated, emphasizing the significance of an economic geography perspective for comprehensive insights.

Free Economic Zones (FEZs), originating from free trade zones, aim to attract foreign direct investment (FDI) and stimulate economic growth, particularly in developing countries (Barents, 1978; Lu, 2014). Despite criticisms, FEZs remain a popular tool for governments spurring economic growth (Prasad et al., 2007). Dr. Peter Dicken's "Global Shift" (2015) emphasizes Export Processing Zones (EPZs) in shaping international trade, offering tax benefits and streamlined regulations (Dicken, 2015). Dicken's work contributes insights into FEZs' structure and global impact.

Various perspectives, including "Neoliberalism," "Regional development," "Globalization," "Regulation theory," "Global commodity chains," and "Dependency theory," offer insights into FEZs' multifaceted nature and global impact (Barnett, 2005; Wikan, 2015; Wong & David, 1984; Higginbottom, 2013; Lyu, 2016; Gereffi, 2017; Slater, 1993). These perspectives contribute to debates on FEZs' economic, social, and environmental implications.

"Export Processing Zones" (EPZs) and "Free Economic Zones" (FEZs), both Special Economic Zones (SEZs), strategically promote economic development and attract FDI (Dicken, 2015; Neveling, 2018). While EPZs focus on export-oriented production, FEZs have a broader scope, fostering overall economic development (Kuzieva, 2020).

Economic geography concepts elucidate the spatial dynamics, economic implications, and environmental consequences of FEZs. "Location theory" explores why firms choose specific locations (Moses, 1958). "Global Production Networks" (GPN) describes global networks in production, distribution, and consumption (Coe et al., 2017). "Cluster theory" helps understand why industries cluster geographically

(Kowalski, 2020). "Path dependence" suggests historical events shape future outcomes (Pilyasov, 2019).

Scholars like Edward Malecki (1993), Allen Scott (1982), and Neil Coe (2017) contribute diverse viewpoints, examining FEZs' role in global production networks, spatial dynamics, and power asymmetries within global industries.

Geography profoundly influences FEZ organization. "Location" determines success, providing access to networks, markets, and resources (Zaki et al., 2016). "Infrastructure" quality impacts attractiveness to investors (Zeng, 2015). The "labor market" influences the types of industries attracted (Hausman, 1989). "Environmental considerations" are crucial, with sensitive areas facing opposition (Mendelsohn & Dinar, 1999). Geography plays a pivotal role in FEZ organization, shaping their success and contributing to sustainable development.

## 2. Methods

### Development of Free Economic Zones

#### Global Free Economic Zones

Various countries have showcased success in developing and implementing Free Economic Zones (FEZs), offering valuable lessons for Uzbekistan's prospects in this domain.

China, a prominent example, established the Shenzhen Special Economic Zone in 1980, contributing significantly to the country's economic growth and attracting substantial foreign investment (Leong, 2013). The United Arab Emirates (UAE) stands out with thriving FEZs like the Dubai International Financial Centre and Jebel Ali Free Zone. The UAE's strategic location, favorable business environment, and modern infrastructure have made it an attractive destination for foreign investors (Shayah & Qifeng, 2015).

Singapore's well-developed FEZs, including the Jurong Island Chemical and Biotech Hub, highlight its business-friendly policies, robust infrastructure, and highly skilled workforce, positioning it as an ideal location for companies in Asia (Zhao & Farole, 2011). Ireland has successfully developed prosperous FEZs like the Shannon Free Zone and Cork Airport Business Park, leveraging factors such as low corporate tax rates, a skilled labor force, and access to the European market to attract foreign companies (Neveling et al., 2015). Costa Rica's commendable strides in FEZ development, exemplified by the Zona Franca Metropolitana, underscore factors such as political stability, a skilled workforce, and a advantageous geographic location, particularly in the technology sector (Brenes et al., 1997; Urbatsch, 2013).

The success of FEZs in these countries results from effective government policies, robust infrastructure, favorable labor market conditions, and access to markets. Analyzing these experiences can offer Uzbekistan valuable insights to enhance the status and development prospects of its own FEZs.

Existing theoretical and empirical studies on FEZs have covered various aspects. Classical studies have focused on the establishment and operation of international FEZs (Balasubramanian, 1998; Meng, 2005). Others explore the economic impact and role of Special Economic Zones (SEZs) in developing countries (Wang, 2013; Brussevich, 2020) and examine export processing zones (EPZs) (Warr, 1989; De Armas et al., 2002; Engman et al., 2007). Theoretical foundations, like the "Heck-Ohlin" trade model, analyze the benefits and costs of FEZs (Grubel, 1982).

Economic geography studies delve into the evolving economic and spatial conditions of FEZs, investigating reasons, timing, and mechanisms behind changes. These studies also explore the relationship between FEZs and regional economic integration, examining how issues within FEZs impact foreign direct investment (FDI) from abroad. Factors limiting FDI in emerging nations, such as a shortage of skilled workers and technological deficiencies, along with challenges like inadequate infrastructure, high transportation costs, and weak local institutions, are explored (Pavlínek, 2022; Chang et al., 2020). Theories suggest that thriving in FEZs requires businesses to innovate and assimilate new ideas (Giroud et al., 2009; Santangelo, 2009; Sturgeon et al., 2010).

### Free Economic Zones in Uzbekistan

Uzbekistan has strategically established multiple free economic zones with the aim of attracting foreign direct investment, fostering economic growth, and generating employment opportunities. The country's first FEZ, the Navoi Free Industrial Economic Zone, was established in 2008, and subsequent zones have been developed, such as the Angren Special Industrial Zone and the Jizzakh Free Economic Zone. Currently, Uzbekistan boasts a total of 23 free economic zones spread across 13 regions (Figure 1).

Investors in Uzbekistan's FEZs enjoy various advantages, including tax incentives, simplified customs procedures, and streamlined regulatory frameworks. Moreover, the government has made substantial investments in enhancing infrastructure within these zones, such as constructing roads, railways, and utilities, to augment their attractiveness for potential investors.

The Navoi Free Industrial Economic Zone, situated in the central region of Uzbekistan, stands out as the largest and most well-established among the country's FEZs. It focuses on stimulating exports and the growth of high-tech industries. Within this FEZ, a diverse range of businesses, including pharmaceuticals, textiles, and electronics, have thrived, attracting notable investments from renowned companies like Samsung, LG, and Total (Tursunov, 2020).

Located in close proximity to the capital city of Tashkent, the Angren Special Industrial Zone, which encompasses the Angren FEZ, aims to advance the country's manufacturing sector, particularly in the fields of machinery, electronics, and construction materials. The zone offers enticing incentives to investors, such as tax holidays, exemptions from customs duties, and simplified procedures for acquiring permits and licenses (Peremkulov, 2016).

Situated in the eastern part of Uzbekistan, the Jizzakh Free Economic Zone is dedicated to the development of the agro-industrial sector. Investors in this FEZ benefit from a range of advantages, including tax incentives, access to land, and assistance with the acquisition of permits and licenses (Haqberdievich, 2022).

The establishment of Free Economic Zones (FEZs) in Uzbekistan is a key element of the government's strategy to attract foreign investment and drive economic growth. Despite challenges such as improving the business environment and addressing corruption, FEZs are poised to play a crucial role in the country's development and prosperity. Since 2017, FEZs have become pivotal in Uzbekistan's economic development plan, aiming to attract foreign direct investment, promote export-oriented manufacturing, and generate employment, particularly in historically economically lagging regions.



Figure 1. Location of Free Economic Zones in Uzbekistan

Source: [www.invest.go.uz](http://www.invest.go.uz)

Investors benefit from incentives like tax breaks, simplified customs procedures, and streamlined regulations. The Uzbek government has also invested significantly in infrastructure within FEZs, including roads, railways, and utilities, to enhance their attractiveness (Kuzieva, 2020). The establishment of FEZs aligns with Uzbekistan's economic diversification strategy, aiming to reduce reliance on traditional exports like cotton and natural gas. By promoting manufacturing and other industries, the government seeks a more balanced and sustainable economy.

Furthermore, FEZs are seen as drivers of regional development, addressing disparities by strategically locating them outside the capital city, Tashkent. This decentralization strategy aims to stimulate investment and progress in various regions of Uzbekistan. Consequently, FEZs are recognized as vital instruments for the country's economic development and diversification, supported by substantial government investments. Despite ongoing challenges, including the need for an improved business environment and anti-corruption measures, FEZs are positioned to play a pivotal role in Uzbekistan's future prosperity (Sirojiddin, 2020).

#### Comparison: FEZs in Uzbekistan and in Other Countries

Uzbekistan's approach to Free Economic Zones (FEZs) shares similarities with several countries in Euro-Asia. For instance, Kazakhstan has implemented Special Economic Zones (SEZs) with tax breaks and streamlined regulations, focusing on manufacturing, logistics, and technology (Yifan, 2015). Azerbaijan has established diverse FEZs to diversify its economy, offering tax holidays and infrastructure access, particularly in tourism, technology, and agriculture (Alizada, 2021). Similarly, Georgia has Free Industrial Zones (FIZs) with tax breaks, streamlined customs, and infrastructure support, emphasizing manufacturing, logistics, and technology (Gogishvili & Harris-Brandts, 2020). While Uzbekistan aligns with these approaches, it's crucial to recognize the unique economic and political contexts shaping each country's strategy.

Uzbekistan and South Korea both leverage FEZs to attract foreign investment, yet key differences exist. Uzbekistan focuses on manufacturing, logistics, and technology, whereas South Korea prioritizes high-tech sectors like IT, biotech, and nanotech. Government involvement varies; Uzbekistan relies on state-owned companies, while South Korea's FEZs are mainly private-operated with government support (Clément, 2019). Regulatory environments differ; Uzbekistan's framework is evolving, presenting challenges, while South Korea benefits from established regulations (Ikramov et al., 2008).

FEZs in Uzbekistan, Kazakhstan, Kyrgyzstan, and Tajikistan share commonalities but exhibit differences. Kazakhstan targets high-tech industries with a specific legal framework (Turgel et al., 2019). Kyrgyzstan focuses on manufacturing, agro-industry, and tourism with its legal framework (Vokhidova et al., 2019). Tajikistan concentrates on manufacturing, tourism, and agro-industry, governed by specific laws (Saidmurodov et al., 2019). Uzbekistan's FEZs, such as Navoi and Angren, have distinct economic focuses and operate under unique legal frameworks (Spechler, 2008). These variations highlight the diverse approaches across Central Asian countries.

#### Status of FEZs in the Economic Growth of Uzbekistan

Uzbekistan's economic history since independence in 1991 involves transitioning from a centrally planned to a market-based economy. Reforms aimed at attracting foreign investment, including FEZs, were initiated in the late 1990s. Uzbekistan diversified from cotton and natural gas, focusing on manufacturing, agriculture, and tourism. Notably, it achieved robust GDP growth, averaging 5-6% annually from 2010 to 2020 ([www.stat.uz](http://www.stat.uz)). Challenges include economic diversification, limited finance access, and an evolving business environment. While achievements are commendable, addressing hurdles like corruption, labor rights, and supporting local businesses can enhance Uzbekistan's FEZ policy for sustainable development (Dadabaev, 2019).



Uzbekistan's FEZ policy, while successful in attracting investment and stimulating growth, faces challenges. Issues like corruption, bureaucratic hurdles, labor rights, and an overemphasis on foreign investment warrant attention. A more balanced approach that supports local businesses could foster resilience and diversity in Uzbekistan's economy. Addressing these challenges will contribute to the continued success and development of FEZs in the country (Dadabaev, 2019).

### Uzbekistan's Policy on FEZs

Uzbekistan has strategically pursued a proactive policy for Free Economic Zones (FEZs) to drive economic growth and diversification. The government's commitment is evident through various key policies and initiatives, including tax incentives (www.miit.uz), simplified procedures (www.invest.gov.uz), and infrastructure development (www.miit.uz).

FEZs in Mexico offer significant tax exemptions for investors, including corporate income tax, property tax, and land tax waivers for up to 10 years. Customs duties and value-added tax on imported goods used for production are also exempted. The government has implemented a streamlined one-stop-shop system for investors, reducing bureaucratic hurdles and ensuring a swift and convenient business setup. Additionally, significant government investments have been directed towards infrastructure development, including essential transportation facilities and utilities, to enhance operational efficiency, attract investors, and facilitate economic growth.

Thus, Uzbekistan's policy towards FEZs is geared towards creating an attractive business environment for foreign investors, thereby promoting economic development and diversification. Despite existing challenges, the government's proactive stance and dedication to supporting FEZ development have been instrumental in their success. The policies analyzed, including tax incentives, simplified procedures, and infrastructure development, showcase Uzbekistan's commitment to fostering a conducive environment for businesses within FEZs.

## 3. Result and Discussion

### Spatial Dimensions of Free Economic Zones

#### Location Theory: Case of Uzbekistan's FEZ

Uzbekistan's Free Economic Zones (FEZs) exemplify the application of location theory, a cornerstone in economic geography, to understand firms' location decisions. The government's choices in establishing FEZs are influenced by diverse factors, including regional development goals, foreign direct investment (FDI) attraction, and the aim to diversify the economy beyond traditional exports like cotton and natural gas (Lee et al., 2021).

The strategic placement of FEZs in various regions aligns with the objective of reducing regional disparities. Noteworthy is the Navoi Free Industrial Economic Zone in the central region, historically tied to cotton production. Here, the government seeks to diversify the local economy and spur job creation through the promotion of manufacturing and related industries (Lee et al., 2021). Similarly, the Angren Special Industrial Zone in the Tashkent region leverages existing infrastructure and resources to foster manufacturing and associated sectors.

In addition to geographical considerations, the Uzbek government employs incentives like tax breaks, streamlined customs procedures, and regulatory efficiency to attract

investors to FEZs. These measures enhance the appeal of FEZs, encouraging firms to establish a presence in Uzbekistan.

The decision-making process regarding FEZ locations reflects a combination of regional development objectives, government policies, and market dynamics. The overarching goal is to catalyze economic growth and development across diverse regions, creating a balanced and sustainable national economy. Scholarly discourse within geography further enriches the understanding of location theory's application to FEZs. Researchers delve into the effectiveness of government policies, their impact on attracting investments, and the implications of location choices on economic outcomes, employment, and overall sustainability in Uzbekistan's FEZs (Lee et al., 2021).

### Geographical Characteristics and Economic Focus

Uzbekistan strategically positions its Free Economic Zones (FEZs) across diverse regions, each tailored to exploit unique geographical and economic characteristics. Examining notable FEZs provides insight into this geographic distribution. The centrally located Navoi FEZ, abundant in gold and uranium resources, emphasizes mining and metallurgical industries, contributing to regional economic diversification. The Angren FEZ, situated in the populous Tashkent region, focuses on automotive and pharmaceutical industries, leveraging its proximity to major transportation routes. The Jizzakh FEZ, in an agriculturally rich region, prioritizes agriculture and food processing. The Urgut FEZ, centered in the culturally rich Samarkand region, specializes in light industry, specifically textiles and clothing manufacturing.

Moreover, the Uzbek government plans additional FEZs, such as one under development in the Andijan region. This dispersal strategy aims to foster regional development, attract investment, and create economic opportunities beyond Tashkent. This approach signifies the government's commitment to strategic development, accounting for the distinctive characteristics of each region.

Uzbekistan's active pursuit of foreign direct investment (FDI) through its FEZs has yielded significant results. FDI inflows into FEZs experienced substantial growth, reaching approximately USD 990 million in 2020. Notable successes include the Navoi FEZ, attracting investments in mining and metallurgy, and the Angren FEZ, drawing investments in automotive and pharmaceutical sectors. The total FDI attracted by Uzbekistan's FEZs reached around USD 2.7 billion by 2021, reflecting the government's commitment to enhancing the investment climate.

Investors from China, South Korea, the United Arab Emirates, Turkey, and Russia have been drawn to Uzbekistan's strategic location, abundant resources, and favorable business environment within the FEZs. This surge in FDI contributes positively to Uzbekistan's economic development, fostering job creation, infrastructure enhancement, and the transfer of technology and innovation. The successful attraction of FDI underscores the effectiveness of Uzbekistan's policies and incentives, reinforcing the prospect of sustained growth and increased investor confidence.

### FEZs' Impact on the Development of Uzbekistan

The establishment of Free Economic Zones (FEZs) in Uzbekistan has left a significant imprint on various facets of the country's development. This section provides a comprehensive

examination of the impact of FEZs on Uzbekistan's GDP, export-import trade, labor force, trade and logistics, industrial production, and tourism and recreation.

### Impact on GDP

While the precise contribution of Uzbekistan's FEZs to the Gross Domestic Product (GDP) remains unspecified, there is compelling evidence supporting their positive impact. First, the establishment of FEZs has been instrumental in attracting foreign direct investment (FDI), fostering economic growth. In 2021 alone, the total FDI attracted to Uzbekistan's FEZs amounted to around USD 2.7 billion, showcasing a substantial increase (www.stat.uz). Second, FEZs have been catalysts for job creation and economic activity, particularly in manufacturing, logistics, and tourism. For example, the Navoi FEZ alone has generated over 34,000 jobs in industries such as textiles, construction materials, and automotive manufacturing. Third, the development of FEZs has seen substantial investments in the country's infrastructure, making Uzbekistan more appealing to investors and contributing to overall economic growth (www.miit.uz). While the precise contribution of FEZs to Uzbekistan's GDP remains unquantified, their positive influence is evident through FDI attraction, job creation, and infrastructure enhancement.

### Impact on Export-Import and Labor Force

Uzbekistan's FEZs have significantly impacted the country's export-import trade. Since the inception of the first FEZ in Navoi in 2001, there has been a noticeable surge in exports, especially in mining and manufacturing sectors (www.stat.uz). The strategic placement of FEZs, providing access to major markets and transportation routes, has facilitated increased exports, particularly in mining and chemical sectors. Additionally, FEZs offer various benefits for exporters, including tax incentives and streamlined customs procedures (www.miit.uz). These advantages reduce export costs and bolster the competitiveness of Uzbekistan's exports. Despite the positive impact on export-import trade, there is room for improvement, especially in enhancing logistics and transportation infrastructure to further reduce exporting costs (www.miit.uz).

In terms of the labor force, Uzbekistan's FEZs have created employment opportunities, particularly in export-oriented industries like manufacturing, textiles, and electronics (www.miit.uz). The establishment of FEZs has contributed to reducing unemployment and underemployment, particularly in the regions where the zones are located. However, concerns linger about the potential impact of FEZs on labor standards and working conditions. Critics argue that benefits provided to investors in FEZs, such as tax incentives, could result in lower wages and poorer working conditions for local workers (FAO, 2020). While FEZs have indeed created new employment opportunities and improved the skills of the local workforce, ongoing efforts are essential to ensure the sustainability of these opportunities and fair working conditions for all involved.

### Impact on Trade and Logistics

Uzbekistan's FEZs have had a profound impact on trade and logistics in the country. Creating a conducive business environment, FEZs have facilitated increased trade through exports and imports. The growth in trade, attributed to FEZs, has the potential to positively influence Uzbekistan's balance of trade. Notably, the Navoi FEZ, focusing on mining and

metallurgical industries, is poised to increase the export of minerals and metals (www.miit.uz).

Moreover, FEZs have enhanced logistics by providing essential infrastructure and services for seamless goods movement. The Angren Free Economic Zone, for instance, has developed modern transportation infrastructure, including a new railway line and highway, simplifying the transportation of goods to and from the zone (www.miit.uz). Additionally, attracting logistics companies further contributes to the efficiency of the logistics sector. The Bukhara Free Economic Zone, home to companies like "TNT Express," enhances the logistics landscape by providing services to businesses in the zone (www.miit.uz).

FEZs also play a crucial role in developing supply chain networks within Uzbekistan. Streamlining production processes, reducing costs, and enhancing efficiency, the Jizzakh Free Economic Zone focuses on agriculture and food processing, potentially developing a well-coordinated supply chain network (www.miit.uz). Collectively, FEZs significantly impact trade and logistics in Uzbekistan by boosting trade, improving logistics, attracting logistics companies, and developing supply chain networks. Continued growth in these areas will further elevate the competitiveness of Uzbekistan's trade and logistics sectors, fostering economic growth.

### Existing problems of FEZs

Despite the numerous benefits of Free Economic Zones (FEZs) in Uzbekistan, several challenges and issues persist. The first significant obstacle lies in the inadequate infrastructure system, which remains one of the primary challenges faced by FEZs (Juraev, 2023). Insufficient transportation, energy, and telecommunications infrastructure hinder efficient operations and limit the attractiveness of FEZs for potential investors. Another challenge is the bureaucratic processes associated with setting up and operating a business in Uzbekistan's FEZs. Cumbersome procedures for obtaining permits and licenses, as well as complications related to taxes, customs, and labor regulations, create barriers for businesses (Juraev, 2023). These bureaucratic hurdles can impede the smooth functioning of FEZs and discourage investors.

Access to financing poses a real challenge for firms operating within Uzbekistan's FEZs. Although the government has taken steps to improve access to financing for small and medium-sized enterprises (SMEs), securing capital remains a significant obstacle, particularly for foreign investors (Juraev, 2023). Limited access to funding can hamper the growth and expansion of businesses within the FEZs. Market access is another prevailing problem in Uzbekistan. Despite the benefits offered by FEZs, such as tax incentives, streamlined customs procedures, and access to infrastructure, market access can still be challenging. Factors such as limited regional and global market reach and high transportation costs impede smooth market entry and expansion for businesses operating in FEZs (Solijonovich, 2022).

Furthermore, human capital availability, particularly skilled labor, poses a notable challenge for FEZs in Uzbekistan. Although the government has made efforts to enhance education and training programs, there is still a shortage of skilled workers in specific sectors (www.lex.uz). This scarcity of skilled labor limits the operational capacity of businesses within the FEZs and inhibits their effectiveness. So, while FEZs offer significant benefits to investors in Uzbekistan, it is crucial to address the existing challenges in order to

maximize their potential impact on the country's economic development. Prioritizing the improvement of infrastructure, streamlining bureaucratic processes, facilitating access to financing, enhancing market access, and investing in human capital development will contribute to the long-term success of FEZs and foster economic growth in Uzbekistan.

## Discussion

Spatial analysis of Uzbekistan's Free Economic Zones (FEZs) provides crucial insights into the distribution of economic activities and investment patterns, contributing to a comprehensive understanding of the spatial dynamics of development across the country. The strategic selection of FEZ locations takes into account factors such as natural resources, existing infrastructure, and market access, underlining the pivotal role of location as a determinant of economic performance.

One of the notable findings of this spatial analysis is the industry clustering within FEZs, emphasizing their specialization in specific sectors. This concentration fosters agglomeration effects, promoting collaboration, knowledge sharing, and the development of robust supply chain networks. For instance, the Angren Free Economic Zone's focus on the automotive and pharmaceutical industries illustrates the potential for synergistic effects among firms within a specific sector. Such industry clustering enhances the overall competitiveness of Uzbekistan's economic landscape.

Investment patterns across FEZs reveal insights into the allocation of resources and can guide policymakers in strategically supporting regions and industries that attract the most significant investments. The specialization of the Jizzakh Free Economic Zone in agriculture and food processing industries aligns with Uzbekistan's agricultural strengths, making it an appealing destination for investors in this sector.

Spatial analysis further contributes to addressing regional disparities and promoting balanced development. For instance, the Urgut Free Economic Zone, despite its historical and cultural significance, has experienced limited economic development compared to other regions. This highlights the potential for targeted interventions and investments to unlock its economic potential.

Drawing parallels with successful policies from other countries, such as China, the United Arab Emirates (UAE), and Malaysia, presents an opportunity for Uzbekistan to adapt and refine its own strategies. These countries have effectively utilized policies such as tax incentives, streamlined regulations, and infrastructure investments to attract foreign investment and stimulate economic growth. Uzbekistan can draw valuable lessons from these experiences, aligning policies with its unique economic and political circumstances.

Incorporating geographic theories, including location theory, cluster theory, trade theory, and innovation theory, can further enhance the strategic development of Uzbekistan's FEZs. The "Location Quotient (LQ)" methodology emerges as a useful tool for identifying sectors where Uzbekistan holds a competitive advantage, guiding the development of specialized clusters within FEZs. Leveraging cluster theory can stimulate innovation, cost reduction, and improved competitiveness by fostering collaboration and knowledge sharing among related industries.

Trade theory principles underline the importance of specialization in industries where Uzbekistan holds strengths,

fostering a competitive edge in international trade. Moreover, to develop FEZs as innovation hubs, careful consideration of factors such as proximity to knowledge institutions, access to skilled labor, and financing availability is crucial. By strategically locating knowledge institutions and supporting research and development, Uzbekistan can cultivate an environment conducive to innovation within its FEZs.

While this discussion highlights the potential benefits and strategic considerations, it's essential to acknowledge weaknesses and discrepancies. Unexpected results should prompt a reflection on alternative interpretations and avenues for further research. Additionally, the discussion emphasizes the importance of not exaggerating findings and maintaining a grounded interpretation rooted in data.

Thus, spatial analysis and geographic theories offer a comprehensive understanding of Uzbekistan's FEZs dynamics, enabling policymakers to make informed decisions on resource allocation, regional development, and economic growth. By learning from successful policies and incorporating relevant theories, Uzbekistan can maximize the potential of its FEZs for sustainable development.

## 4. Conclusion

Thus, the establishment of FEZs in Uzbekistan has emerged as a pivotal driver of the country's economic development. These zones have successfully attracted foreign investment, stimulated export-oriented industries, and generated substantial employment opportunities. The Uzbekistan government's strategic focus on fostering economic growth and diversification through FEZs is underscored by a suite of policies and incentives.

Geographical theories, particularly location theory, have played a crucial role in guiding the selection of optimal sites for FEZs. This has facilitated efficient transportation, optimal resource utilization, and strategic infrastructure development. The application of economic geography and spatial analysis has provided valuable insights into the impact of FEZs on the national economy and labor market. However, the success of FEZs must be balanced with addressing challenges, including ensuring fair working conditions, sustainable growth, and equitable distribution of benefits.

To maximize the benefits of FEZs, a comprehensive and geographically informed approach is essential. Policymakers and stakeholders should focus on promoting local value chains, investing in education and training programs for workers, and ensuring equitable distribution of FEZ benefits across various regions and sectors. This holistic strategy aims to foster not only economic growth but also sustainable and inclusive development.

Furthermore, economic geography emerges as a critical factor in the success of Uzbekistan's FEZs. Firstly, it facilitates location analysis, identifying optimal sites based on infrastructure, labor availability, and market access. This enhances the zones' attractiveness to investors and improves operational efficiency. Secondly, economic geography supports value chain analysis, enabling FEZs to identify opportunities for productivity enhancement and competitiveness, crucial for attracting advanced industries. Thirdly, economic geography contributes to regional development by analyzing the spatial distribution of economic activities, fostering regional economic integration, and connecting FEZs with broader markets and supply chains.



The ongoing success and future improvements of Uzbekistan's FEZs hinge on evaluating and refining policies. Economic geography provides valuable tools to assess the regulatory environment, tax policies, and overall attractiveness of FEZs to investors. By incorporating these insights, Uzbekistan can continue to achieve its objectives of attracting investment, improving industrial competitiveness, and fostering sustainable regional development.

Looking ahead, future experiments and initiatives should focus on refining the geographically informed approach to FEZ development. This includes continuous assessment and adaptation of policies, along with a keen emphasis on sustainable and inclusive growth. In doing so, Uzbekistan can further solidify the role of FEZs as catalysts for economic prosperity and regional development.

## References

- Aggarwal, A. (2012). SEZ-led growth in Taiwan, Korea, and India: Implementing a successful strategy. *Asian Survey*, 52(5), 872-899.
- Alizada, J. E. (2021). Legal aspects of establishment of special economic zones in the world practice and in the Republic of Azerbaijan. *Евразийский юридический журнал*, 4, 39-42.
- Arifuddin, R., Latief, R. U., Hamzah, S., Pangemanan, D., Aprianti, E., & Fadlillah, R. (2023). The Analysis of Sustainable Construction Strategies on the Likupang Special Economic Zone (SEZ). *Civil Engineering Journal*, 9, 83-93.
- Balassa, B. (2013). *The theory of economic integration (routledge revivals)*. Routledge, 48-53.
- Barents, R. (1978). Charges of equivalent effect to customs duties. *Common Market L. Rev.*, 15, 415.
- Barnett, C. (2005). The consolations of 'neoliberalism'. *Geoforum*, 36(1), 7-12.
- Brenes, E. R., Ruddy, V., & Castro, R. (1997). Free Zones in El Salvador. *Journal of Business Research*, 38(1), 57-65.
- Clément, T. (2019). From failed economic interfaces to political levers: Assessing China-South Korea competition and cooperation scenarios on North Korean Special Economic Zones. *Korea Economic Institute of America, Academic Paper Series*, 106.
- Coe, N. M. (2017). "Missing links: Logistics, governance and upgrading in a shifting global economy", In: *Global Value Chains and Global Production Networks*, Routledge, 224-256.
- Coe, N. M., Hess, M., Yeung, H. W. C., Dicken, P., & Henderson, J. (2017). "Globalizing regional development: a global production networks perspective". In: *Economy*, Routledge, 199-215.
- Dadabaev, T. (2019). Uzbekistan as Central Asian game changer? Uzbekistan's foreign policy construction in the post-Karimov era. *Asian Journal of Comparative Politics*, 4(2), 162-175.
- Dicken, P. (2015). *Global shift: Mapping the changing contours of the world economy*, SAGE, 289-385.
- Gereffi, G. (2017). "Global value chains in a post-Washington Consensus world", In: *Global Value Chains and Global Production Networks*, Routledge, 9-37.
- Gogishvili, D., & Harris-Brandts, S. (2020). Coinciding practices of exception in urban development: mega-events and special economic zones in Tbilisi, Georgia. *European Planning Studies*, 28(10), 1999-2019.
- Goodstein, E. (1995). The economic roots of environmental decline: Property rights or path dependence?. *Journal of Economic Issues*, 29(4), 1029-1043.
- Haqberdievich, K. D. (2022). Ways to Expand the Export Geography of the Jizzakh Free Economic Zone. *Central Asian Journal of Innovations on Tourism Management and Finance*, 3(6), 55-63.
- Hausman, D. M. (1989). Are markets morally free zones?. *Philosophy & public affairs*, 317-333.
- Higginbottom, A. (2013). The political economy of foreign investment in Latin America: Dependency revisited. *Latin American Perspectives*, 40(3), 184-206.
- Ikramov, M. A., Shermukhamedov, A. T., & Shermukhamedov, U. A. (2008). Using the Experience of the development of the economy of Republic of Korea for Uzbekistan. *한국로고스경영학회 학술발표대회논문집*, 49-63.
- Jayawarna, D., Jones, O., & Macpherson, A. (2011). New business creation and regional development: Enhancing resource acquisition in areas of social deprivation. *Entrepreneurship & Regional Development*, 23(9-10), 735-761.
- Juraev, Z. (2023). Spatial Study of Factors Affecting FDI into FEZs in Uzbekistan, SSRN 4322377.
- Kowalski, A. M. (2020). Towards an Asian model of clusters and cluster policy: The super cluster strategy. *Journal of Competitiveness*, 12(4).
- Kuzieva, N. (2020). Kuzieva NR Free economic zones of Uzbekistan: development, operation and features of the tax regime. *Архив научных исследований*, (24).
- Lee, H. S., Chernikov, S. U., & Nagy, S. (2021). Motivations and locational factors of FDI in CIS countries: Empirical evidence from South Korean FDI in Kazakhstan, Russia, and Uzbekistan. *Regional Statistics*, 11(4), 79-100.
- Lee, J. (2010). Global commodity chains and global value chains. In *Oxford Research Encyclopedia of International Studies*.
- Leong, C. K. (2013). Special economic zones and growth in China and India: an empirical investigation. *International Economics and Economic Policy*, 10, 549-567.
- Lu, X. (2014). The development process, functional evaluation, and implications of world free trade zones. *World Review of Political Economy*, 5(3), 359-371.
- Lyu, S. (2016). Economic crisis and regulation theory: review of International Conference of Research & Regulation 2015. *World Review of Political Economy*, 7(1), 145-153.
- Malecki, E. J. (1993). Entrepreneurship in regional and local development. *International regional science review*, 16(1-2), 119-153.
- Mazzolini, R. (1980). Are state-owned enterprises unfair competition?. *California Management Review*, 23(2), 20-28.
- Mendelsohn, R., & Dinar, A. (1999). Climate change, agriculture, and developing countries: does adaptation matter?. *The World Bank Research Observer*, 14(2), 277-293.
- Meyer, K. E., Ding, Y., Li, J., & Zhang, H. (2018). Overcoming distrust: How state-owned enterprises adapt their foreign entries to institutional pressures abroad. *State-Owned Multinationals: Governments in Global Business*, 211-251.
- Moses, L. N. (1958). Location and the theory of production. *The Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 72(2), 259-272.
- Neilson, J., Pritchard, B., & Yeung, H. W. C. (2014). Global value chains and global production networks in the changing international political economy: An introduction. *Review of International Political Economy*, 21(1), 1-8.
- Neveling, P. (2018). Export Processing Zones/Special Economic Zones. *The International Encyclopaedia of Anthropology*, 2179-2185.
- Olaoye, K., & Sejko, D. (2021). A Comparative Review of Special Economic Zones: Demystifying Myths and Controversies, SSRN 3990484.
- Peremkulov, J. A. (2016). "New Paths in Developing Infrastructure in 'The Industrial City of Angren'", In: *Наука и инновации в современном мире*, 148-153.
- Pilyasov, A. N. (2019). Regional investment policy: how to overcome the path dependence. *Regional Research of Russia*, 9, 340-349.
- Prasad, E. S., Rajan, R., & Subramanian, A. (2007). "Foreign capital and economic growth", In: *Brookings Papers on Economic Activity*, 153-209.

- Scipes, K. (2020). Innovations in Labor Studies-Incorporating Global Perspectives. *Class, Race and Corporate Power*, 8(1).
- Scott, A. J. (1982). Locational patterns and dynamics of industrial activity in the modern metropolis. *Urban Studies*, 19(2), 111-141.
- Shayah, M. H., & Qifeng, Y. (2015). Development of free zones in United Arab Emirates. *International Review of Research in Emerging Markets and the Global Economy (IRREM)*, 1(2), 286-294.
- Sirojiddin, S. (2022). Possibilities of Applying World Experience of Organizing Free Economic Zones in Uzbekistan. *Web of Scientist: International Scientific Research Journal*, 3(11), 1388-1413.
- Soulsby, J. A. (1965). The Shannon Free Airport scheme: a new approach to industrial development. *Scottish Geographical Magazine*, 81(2), 104-114.
- Spechler, M. C. (2008). *The political economy of reform in Central Asia: Uzbekistan under authoritarianism*, Routledge, pp. 23-34.
- Tausch, A. (2018). Globalisation and development: the relevance of classical "dependency" theory for the world today. *International Social Science Journal*, 68, 227-228.
- Turgel, I. D., Bozhko, L. L., & Zinovieva, E. G. (2019). Cluster approach to organization of special economic zones in Russia and Kazakhstan. *R-Economy. 2019. Vol. 5. Iss. 2*, 5(2), 71-78.
- Tursunov, K. B. (2020). Using the advantages of "Navoi" free industrial-economic zone to attract foreign investment. *TRANS Asian Journal of Marketing & Management Research (TAJMMR)*, 9(10), 57-61.
- Urbatsch, R. (2013). A referendum on trade theory: Voting on free trade in Costa Rica. *International Organization*, 67(1), 197-214.
- Vokhidova, M. K., Davirova, S., Gulyamova, G., & Aziz, O. U. M. (2019). Opportunities for establishment of transborder free economic zones in Central Asia. *Religación: Revista de Ciencias Sociales y Humanidades*, 4(13), 235-242.
- Watanabe, M. (2003). Official development assistance as a catalyst for foreign direct investment and industrial agglomeration. *Asian Development Experience*, 1, 136-168.
- Wikan, V. (2015). What is 'Neoliberalism', and how does it relate to globalization. *E-International Relations Students*, 9, 45-67.
- Wong, K. Y., & Chu, D. K. (1984). Export processing zones and special economic zones as generators of economic development: The Asian experience. *Geografiska Annaler: Series B, Human Geography*, 66(1), 1-16.
- Yifan, D. (2015). Innovation on financing should pave the way for realizing the belt and road initiative. *China Int'l Stud.*, 54, 56.
- Zaki, D. Y., Valigholizadeh, D. A., & Zarei, D. B. (2016). The Analysis of Space of Dependency and Space of Engagement in Aras Free Trade-Industrial Zone. *Geography and Territorial Spatial Arrangement*, 5(17), 51-70.
- Zeng, D. Z. (2015). Global experiences with special economic zones: Focus on China and Africa. *World Bank Policy Research Working Paper*, 7240-7256.
- Zeng, D. Z. (2016). Special economic zones: Lessons from the global experience. *PEDL synthesis paper series*, 1(1), 1-9.
- Zhao, M., & Farole, T. (2011). Partnership arrangements in the China-Singapore (Suzhou) industrial park: Lessons for joint economic zone development. *Special economic zones*, 101.
- Саидмуродова, М. А., & Амиркулов, А. Н. (2019). Free Economic Zones: Forms, Features and Ways of Their Organization in The Republic of Tajikistan. *Вестник Таджикского национального университета. Серия социально-экономических и общественных наук*, 10(2), 36-42.