# BIFLAVONOID COMPOUND FROM THE STEM BARK OF GAMBOGE (Garcinia xanthochymus)

# Muharni<sup>\*</sup>, Elfita, and Amanda

Department of Chemistry, Faculty of Mathematics and Natural Science, Sriwijaya University, Indralaya, South Sumatera, Indonesia

Received March 17, 2011; Accepted July 15, 2011

## ABSTRACT

Garcinia xanthochymus (Guttiferae) commonly known as gamboge, is a perennial medicinal plant native to the north of Thailand and Myanmar. Gamboge is used in watercolors, as a yellow fabric dye and traditional medicine for treating diarrhea and dysentery, dispelling worms clearing away fire and removing food toxin. Phytochemical investigations on the methanol extract of the stem bark of Garcinia xanthochymus resulted in isolation of one biflavonoid compound (+)-morelloflavon. The structure of this compound was deduced on basis of spectroscopic data including <sup>1</sup>H NMR, <sup>13</sup>C NMR, HMQC and HMBC and comparison with the reported data. This compound has been reported from others species of Garcinia.

Keywords: Garcinia xanthochymus, biflavonoid, (+)-morelloflavon

## INTRODUCTION

Garcinia xanthochymus (Guttiferae) commonly known as gamboge, is a tree endemic to india growing 8-10 m in height and is a perennial medicinal plant native to the north of Thailand and Myanmar [1]. Gamboge is used in watercolors and as a yellow fabric dye. Gamboge fruit are used in traditional medicine for treating diarrhea and dysentery; dispelling worms clearing away fire and removing food toxin .Plants in the guttiferae are rich sources of xanthones, biflavonoids and benzophenones [2]. These constituents have been reported to possess several biological activities, such as antibacterial activity, antimalarial activity, cytotoxicity analgetic, antioxidant, antiviral and neurotrophic activity and inhibition of cyclooxygenase. Previous phytochemical studies of G. xanthochymus have show the presence of 1,3,5,6-tetrahydroxy-4,7,8-(3-methyl-2butenyl)xanthone, garciniaxanthone, 1,4,5,6-tetrahydro xy-7,8-di(3-methylbut-2-enyl)xanthone and 1,2,6-trihydro xy-5-methoxy-7-(3-methylbut-2-enyl)xanthone from the wood [3,4], xanthochymol, isoxanthochymol, volkensi flavone, 1,5-dihydroxyxanthone and 1,7-dihydroxyxan thone from the fruits [1], and 1,6-dihydroxy-4,5dimethoxyxanthone and 1,5,6-trihydroxy-7,8-di(3-methyl-2-butenyl)- 6,6-dimethylpyrano(2,3:3,4)xanthone from the bark of G. xanthochymus [5]. The CHCl<sub>3</sub> and EtOAc partitions from G. xanthochymus fruits displayed activity in the DPPH assay (IC50 = 32 and 105 ug/mL respectively and cytotoxicity against the SW-480 colon cancer cell line (IC<sub>50</sub> = 15 and 50  $\mu$ g/mL respectively

In our continuing phytochemical investigation of *G.xanthochymus* a biflavonoid compoud, (+)- morello

Email address : muharnimyd@yahoo.co.id

flavone (1), was isolated from the methanol extract of the stem bark of *G.xanthochymus*. In this paper, we describe the isolation and structural elucidation of the isolated compounds. The compound was identified by their spectra data and comparison with the reported data.

### **EXPERIMENTAL SECTION**

### **Plant material**

The stem bark of *G.xanthochymus* were collected from Hutan Raya Bogor, West Java, Indonesia. This plant was identified and voucher specimen has been deposited in the Herbarium Bogoriensis Bogor.

#### **Materials**

Vacuum liquid chromatography (VLC) and column chromatography were carried using Merck silica gel 60  $GF_{254}$  (230-400 mesh)), column chromatography using






Fig 1. Structure of (+)-morellofavone (1)

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author.



**Fig 2.** <sup>1</sup>H- NMR Spectrum of **1** (methanol- $d_3$ , 500 MHz) at 6.9–7.37 ppm



**Fig 3.** <sup>1</sup>H- NMR Spectrum of **1** (methanol-*d*<sub>3</sub>, 500 MHz) at 5.02–6.66 ppm

Si gel 60 (70–230 mesh.). Analytical thin layer chromatography (TLC) was carried out using Merck (Art.5554) silica gel 60  $F_{254}$ , pre-coated aluminium sheets solvents for chromatography were technical grade and distilled before use.


#### Instrumentation


Melting point was determined on a micromelting point apparatus NMR spectra were recorded at 500 MHz (<sup>1</sup>H) and 125 MHz (<sup>13</sup>C) on JEOL JNM ECA-500 spectrometer. Organic materials were detected by first viewing the plate under UV light at 254 nm and 365 nm. The extracts were organic mixture samples were applied in the pre-adsorbed form on silica gel 60 (70–230 mesh.)

## Procedure

### Extraction and isolation

The dried powdered of the steam bark of *G.xanthochymus* (2 kg) was macerated sequentially with n-hexane, EtOAc, and methanol at room temperature. Concentrated in vacuo to evaporate and give n-hexane (30 g), EtOAc (40 g), and methanol (35 g). The methanol extract (30 g) was further fractionated by vacuum liquid chromatography (VLC) with gradient elution, using n-hexane–EtOAc (8:2 – 2:8) *and EtOAc.* The based of thin layer chromatography (TLC) analyze afforded 4 fractions F1-F4. Fraction F3 (3 g) obtained was purification using column chromatography on silica gel eluted with n-hexana-EtOAc (8: 2 – 2:8) to give four subfractions F3.1–F.3.4. Fraction F3.3, further purification by recrystallization with EtOAc afforded yellow crystal (18 mg).





**Fig 5.** HMQC spectrum of **1:** H-31, H-16, H-18, H-32, H-28 (methanol-*d*<sub>3</sub>, 500 MHz)

#### **RESULT AND DISCUSSION**

The methanol extract from the dried stem bark of *G. xanthochymus* has been isolated a. bilfavonoid as (+)-morelloflavon (**1**) as yellow crystal, m.p 300–301 °C. The H-NMR spectrum (Table 1) of **1** showed the presence of there aromatic proton at  $\delta_{\rm H}$  6.92 (H-31) (1H, d, *J* = 8.45 Hz),  $\delta_{\rm H}$  7.30 (H-32) (1H, d, *J* = 1.95; 8.45) and 7.35 (H-28) (1H, d, *J* = 1.95). Characteristic for 1,3,4-trisusbtituted benzene (Fig. 2).

One signal singlet also observed in this spectrum at  $\delta_H$  6.26 (H-22) (1H, s). In the <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum the




Fig 6. HMBC spectrum of 1: correlation of H-31, H-16, H-18, H-32, H-28 with carbon at  $\delta_c$ 129 -160 ppm (methanol- $d_3$ , 500 MHz)

presence of signal- signal proton aromatic at  $\delta_{\rm H}$  5.97 (H-6) (1H, *d*, *J* = 1.9) and  $\delta_{\rm H}$  5.98 (H-8) (1H, *d*, *J* = 1.9) showed coupling metha, two pairs proton coupling ortho at  $\delta_{\rm H}$  7.06 (H-12) (1H, *d*, *J* = 8.4),  $\delta_{\rm H}$  6.62 (H-13) (1H, *d*, *J* = 8.4) and  $\delta_{\rm H}$  6.42 (H-15) (1H, *d*, *J* = 8.45) and  $\delta_{\rm H}$  7.10 (H-16) (1H, *d*, *J* = 8.45). Signal doublet at  $\delta_{\rm H} \delta_{\rm H} 5.76$  (1H, *d*, *J* = 12.3 Hz) showed coupling proton trans axial-axial at C SP<sup>3</sup> (Fig. 3). Coupling proton trans axial-axial at C SP<sup>3</sup> usually to had constanta coupling (*J*) 8-14 Hz [6].

Support for the structure **1** was obtained from <sup>13</sup>C NMR, HMQC and HMBC data (Table 1). <sup>13</sup>C NMR

No C	$\delta_{C}$ (ppm)	$\delta_{C}$ (ppm)	$\delta_{\rm H}$ (ppm), integration,	HMBC
	Morelloflanone	1	multiplicity, J (Hz) 1	1
2	81.0	82.8	5.75 (1H, <i>d</i> , 12.3)	C-16
3	48.8	48.8	4.61(1H, <i>s</i> )	
4	195.6	197.9		
5 6	163.5	163.4		
6	96.2	96.5	5.97(1H, <i>d,</i> 1.9)	C-10
7	166.3	168.3		
8	95.2	97.5	5.98 (1H, <i>d</i> , 1.9)	C-10
9	162.5	164.9		
10	101.5	103.5		
11	128.0	130.6		
12	128.1	120.0	7.06 (1H, <i>d</i> , 8.4)	C-2, C-13, C-14, C-16
13	114.4	115.7	6.62 (1H, d, 8.4)	C-14, C-15, C-16
14	157.1	158.7		
15	114.4	115.6	6.42 (1H, <i>d,</i> 8.5)	C-13,C-14, C-16
16	128.1	129.4	7.10 (1H, d, 8.5)	C-2, C-14
18	163.2	165.9		
19	102.4	103.3	6.42 (1H, s )	C18, C-26, C-27
20	181.4	183.9		
21	160.3	157.5		
22	98.6	99.9	6.26 (1H, s)	C-23, C-24, C-26
23	161.4	162.7		
24	10.5	102.1		
25	155.0	151.0		
26	103.2	105.1		
27	121.2	123.5		
28	113.1	114.2	7.35 (1H, <i>d</i> , 1.95)	C-30, C-32
29	145.4	147.0		,
30	149.4	151.1		
31	116.1	116.9	6.92 (1H, <i>d</i> , 8.45)	C-27, C-29
32	119.0	120.6	7.3 0 (1H, <i>d</i> d, 1.95; 8.45)	C-28, C-30

**Table 1.** <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 Mhz), <sup>13</sup>C NMR (125 MHz), HMQC and HMBC data for **1** in methanol- $d_3$  and comparison morelloflavon\* has been reported [7].




Fig 7. Selected HMBC correlation and  $\delta$ -assignment of 1

spectrum revealed the presences of 32 carbon resonances due to two carbonyl carbon at  $\delta_C$  183.9 and  $\delta_C$  197.9, 28 Aromatic carbon, and two carbon SP<sup>3</sup>.signal at  $\delta_C$  82.8 and  $\delta_C$  48.8 ppm (Fig. 4).

The position of the proton was assigned from HMQC and HMBC spectrum (Fig. 5 and 6). Aromatic proton at  $\delta_{\rm H}$  7.06 (d, J = 8.4) in spectrum HMBC showed four correlation [<sup>2</sup>J : C-13 ( $\delta_{\rm C}$  115.7); <sup>3</sup>J : C-14 ( $\delta_C$  158.7), C-16 ( $\delta_C$  129.4) and C-2 ( $\delta_C$  82.8) which placement at C-12. Proton at  $\delta_{C}6.62$  (1H, d, J = 8.4) be coupled with proton at  $\delta_H$  7.06 as meta coupling so, it can be placed at C-13 position and at HMBC spectrum showed correlation  ${}^{2}J$  : C-14 ( $\delta_{C}$  158.7);  ${}^{3}J$  : C-15 ( $\delta_{C}$  11.6); and  ${}^{4}J$  : C-16 ( $\delta_{C}$  129.4). Three aromatic proton at  $\delta_{\rm H}$  7.06 (1H, dd, J = 1.95; 8.45),  $\delta_{\rm H}$  7.35 (1H, d, J = 1.95) and  $\delta_H 6.92$  (1H, d, J = 8.45) be place at C-32, C-28 and C-31 respectively with ortho and metha position in HMBC spectrum showed correlation with carbon  $[{}^{3}J$  : C-28 ( $\delta_{C}$  114.2), C-30 ( $\delta_{C}$  151.1)];  $[{}^{3}J$  : C-30 ( $\delta_{C}$  151.1), C-32 ( $\delta_{C}$  120.6)]; and  $[{}^{3}J$  : C-27  $(\delta_{C}$  123.5), C-29  $(\delta_{C}$  147.0)] respectively. Two proton at  $\delta_{\rm H}$  5.97 (1H, d, J = 1.9) and  $\delta_{\rm H}$  5.98 (1H, d, J = 1.9) showed correlation  ${}^{2}J$  at carbon C-10 ( $\delta_{C}$  103.5) which

indicated the placed of C-6 and C-8. Two sharp singlet of two proton each at  $\delta_{\rm H}$  6.42 and  $\delta_{\rm H}$  6.26 showed correlation [<sup>2</sup>*J* : C-18 ( $\delta_{\rm C}$  165.9), <sup>3</sup>*J* C-26 ( $\delta_{\rm C}$  105.1), C-27 ( $\delta_{\rm C}$  123.5) and [<sup>2</sup>*J* : C-23 ( $\delta_{\rm C}$  165.9), <sup>3</sup>*J* : C-24 ( $\delta_{\rm C}$  102.1), C-26 ( $\delta_{\rm C}$  105.1) respectively be placed as aromatic proton at C-19 and C-22. Signal doublet at  $\delta_{\rm H}$  5.75 (*J* = 12.3) showed correlation at carbon [<sup>3</sup>*J* : C-16)] and indicated the place at C-2 ( $\delta_{\rm C}$  82.8). Two proton aromatic each at  $\delta_{\rm H}$  6.42 (*d*, *J* = 8.5) and  $\delta_{\rm H}$  7.10 (*d*, *J* = 8.5) so be coupling metha and HMBC spectrum showed correlation with carbon at C-13 (<sup>3</sup>*J*); C-14, C-16 (<sup>2</sup>*J*) and C-2, C-14 (<sup>3</sup>*J*) respectively which indicated the placed of C-15, and C-16. HMBC spectrum showed at Fig. 5 and 6.

According to analyzation of spectroscopy data above this compound **1** is (+)-morelloflavone. This compound had been reported from alcoholic extract of leaves of *G. nervosa* [7], the fruit of *G. dulcis* [8] and from methanol extract the bark of *G. multiflora*. This compound showed radical scavenging and antibacterial activities [9]. Selected HMBC correlation and  $\delta$ -assignment of **1** showed Fig. 7.

## CONCLUSION

A biflavonoid, (+)- morelloflavon had been isolated from methanol extract of the stem bark of *Garcinia xanthochymus*. This compound adds kinds of phytochemistry studies from the stem bark of *G*. *xanthochymus*.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors thank at the Herbarium Bogoriensis, Bogor for identification of the plant specimen and research Center of Chemistry LIPI, Puspitek, Serpong for measuring spectra.

## REFERENCES

- 1. Whitmore, M.A., 1973, *Tree Flora of Malaya, Forest Department, Manistry of Primary Industries*, Malaysia, Longman.
- Baggett, S., Protiva, P., Eugene, P.M., Yang, H., Ressler, T.E., and Basile, M.J., 2005, *J. Nat. Prod.*, 68, 354-360.
- Chanmahasthien, W., Li, Y., Satake, M., Oshima, Y., Ishibashi, M., Ruangrungsi, N., and Ohizumi, Y., 2003, *Chem. Pharm. Bull*, 51, 11, 1332–1334.
- Chanmahasthien, W., Li, Y., Satake, M., Oshima, Y., Ishibashi, M., Ruangrungsi, N., and Ohizumi, Y., 2003, *Phytochem.*, 64, 981–986.
- 5. Zhong, F.F., Chen, Y., Mei, Z.N., and Yang, G.Z., 2007, *Chin. Chem. Lett.*, 18, 849–851.
- 6. Breitmaier, E., 1993, *Structure Elucidation by NMR in Organic Chemistry, A Practical Guide*, England, John Wiley & Sons Ltd.
- 7. Duddeck, G., Snatzke, G., and Yemul, S.S., 1978, *Phytochem.*, 17, 1369–1373.
- 8. Babu, V., Ali, S.M., Sultana, S., and Ilyas, M., 1988, *Phytochem.*, 27, 10, 3332–3335.
- 9. Deachathai, S., Mahabusarakam, W., Phongpaichit, S., Taylor, W.C., Zhang, Y.J., and Yang, C.R., 2006, *Phytochem.*, 67, 464–469